



*\*Minutes are confidential to ICOLC members*

## **ICOLC Coordinating Committee**

September 17, 2020

**Attending:** Rick Burke (SCELC), Lucy Harrison (GALILEO), Patrick Peiffer (Luxembourg), Pim Slot (SURFmarket), Jiri Jirat (CzechElib), Celeste Feather (Lyris), Anita Cocchia (BCELN), Meng-ling Lin (Beatrice) (CONCERT & NDDS), Nancy Kirkpatrick (OhioNet)

- 1) ICOLC OCLC Task Force update (Lucy)
- 2) Planning for ICOLC Europe Virtual meeting, hosted by HEAL-Link
- 3) ICOLC Europe – Most Recent Webinar
- 4) ICOLC Website

Meeting notes:

**I, OCLC Task Force report** *(Please update this section with any corrections or additional comments that I might have missed)*

Lucy Harrison shared the current status of the report. Pricing section of the report is still forthcoming. Healthy discussion of different perspectives on OCLC.

Patrick Peiffer - cited his difficult experience with EZproxy at his consortium. Worldcat is also used for cataloging. OCLC very litigious in Europe. Worthwhile to present to European meeting. Very much agree with making WorldCat open as a goal.

Lucy - noted how the JISC experience with OCLC differs from North American (NA) perspective. We recognize this is a NA perspective and it would be useful to point out that, unless OCLC acts more like a nonprofit, libraries will become less reliant on OCLC, as is the case outside of NA.

It seems that there is a good opportunity to expand our various perspectives on OCLC. We need to be judicious in how we, as ICOLC, address our “global” concerns to OCLC, doing our best to not make assumptions or accidentally misrepresent how OCLC is perceived outside of NA.

Consequently, one programming idea for the next ICOLC virtual meeting is to dedicate some programming to how OCLC differs in their role in different countries and regions. Compare NA position vs outside of NA. Great opportunity for conversation about the differences regionally. Worldcat may not be on the radar internationally as compared to NA. EZproxy pricing and implementation issues also cited.

## II. European virtual meeting

Programming for November dates (Nov 23-25) still feasible. Can split ICOLC Europe program to spread it out over a week ... kind of a ICOLC festival of sorts. Record sessions and then follow up with a time slot to have a reaction discussion, with the opportunity to reach some conclusions on what was presented. Again, content is driving everything. Look at what we need to get done and then map out how to do it. Group common topics together and do that one day or two days (like OA perspectives from different from regions, I.e global south vs western Europe vs NA). Take advantage of spreading it out, but not for too long... four days to one week is ideal. Spreading it out over two weeks was discussed. but overall the committee felt one week is best. Would also allow for sessions in non-European time zones.

*(N.B. - November 26-27 is the Thanksgiving holiday in the United States, so if we aim for one week of programming then we need to adjust the dates to allow for one full week where there are no holiday conflicts.)*

Regarding the OA theme as one topic: See OASPA meeting agenda for OA speaker ideas. Beatrice (Meng Ling-Lin) noted Korean ideas on OA (KISTI). China perspective - could we get a speaker from there? Invite Lucy to join program committee call re: OCLC session topic.

Based on our discussion, we agreed that we should divide the Program committee into sub-committees to respectively cover three or four major topical areas. Need to decide the days and timing for these topically-focused sessions.

Rick will contact Lia Ollandezou at Heal-LINK to arrange a meeting next Monday or Tuesday to discuss this further. Advertise this as *ICOLC Week, hosted by Heal-LINK*.

## III. European monthly ICOLC webinars and archiving of ICOLC program recordings

We have recordings from the European webinar sessions. We also have recordings from the PASCAL-hosted virtual meeting in July. Lyrisis can host ICOLC webinar recordings. Low cost. Distributed storage of slides or other presentation materials might also be supported. CC authorized Lyrisis to establish a recordings archive for ICOLC programs, and enable access to the software for a cost of \$200 annually. ICOLC fund can cover these costs.

Meeting adjourned 10 minutes past the hour thanks to a lively OCLC and ICOLC Week discussion.